The GrayBar Building 420 Lexington Ave., Suite 300 New York, NY 10170 (212) 490-6050 – Phone (212) 490-6070 - Fax



1599 Post Road East Westport, CT 06880 (203) 256-8600 – Phone (203) 255-5700 - Phone (203) 256-8615 – Fax (203) 255-5702 - Fax

July 13, 2020

Honorable Joan M. Azrack United States District Judge United States District Court Eastern District of New York Long Island Courthouse 100 Federal Plaza Central Islip, NY 11722

> Plaza Marine Inc. and Plaza Fueling Agents v. Bouchard Transportation Co., Inc. USDC, EDNY - 20 Civ. 1009 (JMA)(SIL) File: 4766

## Dear Judge Azrack:

Our law firm represents plaintiffs Plaza Marine Inc. and Plaza Fueling Agents (collectively "Plaza") in the captioned action which involves claims against defendant Bouchard Transportation Co., Inc. *in personam* and various vessels *in rem* for failure to pay for marine fuel (commonly known as "bunkers") that plaintiffs supplied to the vessels, which are all tugboats or pushboats.

With the filing of an Amended Verified Complaint on February 27, 2020, plaintiffs alleged claims covering eleven invoices in the total amount of \$465,274.66. Fortunately, defendant then proceeded to make several payments to plaintiffs that reduced the amount owed on the claims to just \$55,053.78 on two invoices. In part because they were encouraged by defendant's substantial paydown of its indebtedness, plaintiffs provided additional fuel to four of the defendant vessels (the FREDERICK E. BOUCHARD, J. GEORGE BETZ, JANE A. BOUCHARD and DENISE A. BOUCHARD) in March. The value of the fuel supplied in these bunker stems came to \$179,801.76.

Unfortunately, defendant has still not paid the \$55,053.78 that is due under the Amended Verified Complaint and it has also not paid for the fuel that was furnished to the four vessels in March. Accordingly, plaintiffs would like to file a Second Amended Complaint that would keep the two remaining claims described in paragraphs 25 and 26 of the first Amended Complaint and add the four new claims. The total ad damnum in the Second Amended Complaint would be

\$234,855.54 plus interest, costs and legal fees. Bouchard Transportation Co., Inc. would remain as the sole *in personam* defendant and only five of the eight vessels named in the Amended Verified Complaint would be named in the Second Amended Complaint.

Too much time has passed since the filing of the Amended Verified Complaint for plaintiffs to file a Second Amended Complaint "as a matter of course," to use the language of Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This shifts the focus to Rule 15(a)(2), which provides as follows:

*Other Amendments*. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires.

On July 7th, the undersigned wrote to John J. Walsh of Freehill, Hogan & Mahar, counsel for defendant Bouchard in this action, "to request that you provide Bouchard's written consent that Plaza may file a Second Amended Complaint on the basis of the six unpaid invoices." However, Mr. Walsh advised the undersigned by email on Friday, July 10th, that "I have been instructed to withdraw from representing the Defendants in this matter and will be filing motion papers next week." In a telephone conversation with Mr. Walsh today, the undersigned asked if it may be possible for him to consent to the filing of the Second Amended Complaint before he leaves the case. However, Mr. Walsh reiterated that his only instructions are to file a motion to withdraw as counsel.

Since plaintiffs are unable to obtain "the opposing party's written consent," in accordance with Rule 15(a)(2), they must seek leave of Court to file the Second Amended Complaint.

In accordance with Section IV(B) of Your Honor's Individual Rules, plaintiffs respectfully request the scheduling of a pre-motion conference to consider their application for permission to file a motion to obtain leave allowing plaintiffs to file a Second Amended Complaint in this action. If, as anticipated, defendant Bouchard's attorneys file a motion to withdraw, as they have indicated will happen, perhaps the Court can simply "so order" this letter to allow plaintiffs to proceed with the requested filing.

Respectfully submitted,

Lennon, Murphy & Phillips, LLC

By Keith a. Land

Keith W. Heard

cc: Freehill, Hogan & Mahar Attn: John J. Walsh, Esq.